

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **CENTRAL DISTRICT CALIFORNIA**
6 **WESTERN DIVISION**

7 _____)
8 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL)
9 DIVERSITY; WISHTOYO)
10 FOUNDATION,)

11 *Plaintiffs,*)

12 v.)

13 DOUG BURGUM, et al.,)

14 *Federal Defendants*)

15 and)

16)
17 SABLE OFFSHORE CORP.,)

18 *Intervenor-Defendant.*)

Case No. 2:24-cv-05459-MWC-MAA
[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING FEDERAL
DEFENDANTS' CROSS-
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT AND DENYING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Honorable Michelle Williams
Court
United States District Judge

21
22 Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment and Federal
23 Defendants' and Intervenor-Defendant's Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment
24 under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56.

25 Having considered the motions, the Court finds that, in light of Federal
26 Defendants' 2025 Decision superseding the challenged 2023 lease extension
27 decision, the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement's new

1 Environmental Assessment of impacts from resumed production on the leases, and
2 the completion of the well reworking activities proposed by Intervenor-Defendant
3 in 2024, Plaintiffs' claims are now moot. *See Native Vill. of Nuiqsut v. Bureau of*
4 *Land Mgmt.*, 9 F.4th 1201, 1209 (2021); *Ctr. For Biological Diversity v. Lohn*, 511
5 F.3d 960, 963 (9th Cir. 2007); *American Rivers v. Nat'l Marine Fisheries Serv.*,
6 126 F.3d 1118, 1124 (9th Cir. 1997). The Court therefore GRANTS Federal
7 Defendants' and Intervenor-Defendant's Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment
8 and DENIES Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10
11 Dated this _____, 2025.
12
13
14

15 HON. MICHELLE WILLIAMS COURT
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27